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Background: Although emotional stress has long been suspected to exacerbate acne vulgaris, previous reports addressing its influence on acne severity have been mainly anecdotal.

Objectives: To elucidate the possible relationship between stress and acne exacerbation by evaluating changes in acne severity during nonexamination and examination periods and to assess the possible relationship of these changes in severity with perceived examination stress by using previously validated scales measuring acne severity and perceived stress.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: General university community.

Participants: A volunteer sample of 22 university students (15 women and 7 men) with a minimum acne vulgaris severity of 0.5 on the photonic Leeds acne scale (baseline scores, 0.50-1.75).

Main Outcome Measures: Participants were graded on their acne severity using the Leeds acne scale, and had their subjective stress levels assessed with the Perceived Stress Scale questionnaire during both nonexamination and examination periods.

Results: Subjects had a higher mean grade of acne severity and mean perceived stress score (P<.01 for both) during examinations. Using regression analysis and adjusting for the effects of confounding variables, such as changes in sleep hours, sleep quality, diet quality, and number of meals per day, increased acne severity was significantly associated with increased stress levels (r =0.61, P<.01), while self-assessed change in diet quality was the only other significant association (P = .02).

Conclusions: Patients with acne may experience worsening of the disease during examinations. Furthermore, changes in acne severity correlate highly with increasing stress, suggesting that emotional stress from external sources may have a significant influence on acne.
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A clinical and questionnaire-based prospective observational cohort study was conducted at the Department of Dermatology, Stanford University School of Medicine. Approval for the study was granted by the university institutional review board. Twenty-two healthy university students (age range, 18-41 years; mean age, 22.25 years) with at least 1 academic examination within the participating academic quarter were recruited for the study by campus advertisements and by recruitment during visits to the general dermatology clinic. The study subjects were roughly representative of the student body in diversity. Twenty-two subjects provided informed consent, 19 (7 men and 12 women) completed the study, and 3 failed to return for follow-up visits to the general dermatology clinic. The study subjects had the greatest exacerbations in acne severity. There were significant increases in stress during examination periods also had the greatest exacerbations in acne severity. There were 5 students who reported similar stress levels at both visits, with a perceived stress score either the same or within 3 points. In these subjects, acne severity either remained the same during both periods or varied only by 0.25 in either direction.

Photographic grading by a separate investigator, who was blinded as to which period the pictures were taken, agreed with that of the primary grader in 16 of 19 subjects. One subject was graded as unchanged, instead of worse; another was graded worse, instead of better; and another was graded improved, instead of worse.

Figure 1 shows the differences in subjects’ acne severity between nonexamination and examination periods. A paired t test comparing acne severity showed a higher mean Leeds acne score of 1.33 during examination periods (P<.01), which is increased from a mean
Acne vulgaris is a common inflammatory condition of the skin affecting more than 80% of teenagers and 25% of adults. One third of adults who have acne admit to feeling embarrassed or self-conscious because of their skin.27 Despite the prevalence of this condition and considerable research, there is still much unsubstantiated myth surrounding the causes of acne. Specifically, stress is often cited as playing a role in acne flares, even though there is little research to support this claim. Although it is well-known that acne can be a source of significant stress and anxiety,3,28 scientific evidence outside of anecdotal reports that stress itself may worsen acne has been lacking.

In this study, subjects who demonstrated the greatest increase in perceived stress during examinations also displayed the greatest exacerbation of acne severity in a proportional predictable manner. Although other changes occur in a student’s life during examination periods that can potentially confound this study, the association between stress and worsened acne remained significant even after controlling for changes in diet and sleep habits. The calculated correlation coefficient of 0.61 suggests a convincing association, because this is well above the statistically accepted critical coefficient of 0.44 in a study with 20 subjects. More important, the primary investigator (A.C.) did not know the subjects’ stress scores at the time of acne grading and, thus, could not predict whether they were more or less stressed.

To assess whether investigator bias may have affected the results of the study, we asked a board-certified dermatologist, blinded to examination period status, to grade randomized clinical photographs of the subjects. There was a discrepancy in grading between the clinical and photographic investigators for only 3 of 19 subjects, suggesting that investigator bias was unlikely a significant factor in affecting study results. Differences in grading may have been due to difficulty in detecting deep or noninflamed lesions on photographs or interrater variability.

It is possible that other factors not controlled for in our study, such as menstrual cycle or hormonal influence, facial hygiene, or picking and squeezing of acne lesions, may have contributed to the worsening of acne during examinations. None of the subjects reported acne flaring with their menstrual cycles. Furthermore, the investigator was trained to differentiate between truly worsened acne and manipulated skin, as picking generally results in acne excoriée, characterized by crusts and excoriations.

As in any study, finding a correlation between 2 variables does not necessarily mean a direct cause-and-effect relationship. It is certainly plausible that the correlation observed is in part due to worsened acne itself causing increased stress, instead of the reverse relationship. However, in a high-achieving population such as university students, subjects tended to report becoming less concerned with their appearance during examinations. Thus, it is more likely that increasing stress exacerbates acne instead of the reverse relationship.

Surprisingly, self-perceived worsening of diet quality was also associated with increased acne severity in this study. However, perceived diet quality was recorded in this study not a quantifiable measure of the subjects’ diets in terms of calories and grams of fat. Furthermore, the scale used to measure diet quality was not a previously validated tool like the Perceived Stress Scale or the Leeds acne scale. These results should, therefore, be interpreted with caution because the hypothesis that diet is an important factor in acne has been largely refuted in previous reports.29,30

Various mechanisms have been proposed for why stress may potentially aggravate acne vulgaris. Some investigators31,32 believe that increased glucocorticoids and adrenal androgens, both hormones known to worsen acne severity, play a role. In this study, however, there was no significant increase in self-perceived diet quality during examination periods. Additionally, no statistically significant changes were noted in other factors, such as sleep quality or perceived diet quality during examination periods. The statistical analysis of these data displayed a significant (P<.01). Of the other lifestyle factors recorded, none were significantly different between the examination and the nonexamination periods, and none were associated with changes in acne severity.
and possibly induce sebaceous hyperplasia, are released during periods of emotional stress. And corticotropin-releasing hormone, the body’s coordinator in the stress response, was found to increase sebaceous lipogenesis and up-regulate seocyte conversion of androgen precursors to testosterone. There is also research suggesting that stress-induced release of neuroactive substances within the epidermis can activate inflammatory processes in the skin. Recently, substance P, a neuropeptide elicited from peripheral nerves by stress, was shown to stimulate the proliferation of sebaceous glands and to up-regulate lipid synthesis in sebaceous cells. Last, psychological stress, including examination stress, can slow wound healing by up to 40%, which could be a factor in slowing the repair of acne lesions.

Just how significant a role stress plays in the pathogenic process of acne vulgaris is yet to be determined. The participants in this study are university students who may be under more stress than the general population. Men were also underrepresented in this study, making up only 37% of the participants. Furthermore, because the subjects studied had acne severities at the lower end of the Leeds acne scale, it is unclear whether the impact of stress will prove to be minimized with more severe forms of disease. On the other hand, the examination stress model studied herein may actually understate the true effects of stress on acne, because emotional conflicts, such as relationships and identity, may have an even greater impact on disease than external stressors like examinations.

Ultimately, the relationship between stress and acne is clinically relevant and worth exploration because possible behavioral interventions may become viable options for patients, as may therapeutic approaches that can be adjusted during times of known stressors.
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