Tazarotene Cream for the Treatment of Facial Photodamage

A Multicenter, Investigator-Masked, Randomized, Vehicle-Controlled, Parallel Comparison of 0.01%, 0.025%, 0.05%, and 0.1% Tazarotene Creams With 0.05% Tretinoin Emollient Cream Applied Once Daily for 24 Weeks
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Objective: To assess the safety and efficacy of 4 concentrations of tazarotene cream in the treatment of facial photodamage.

Design: Prospective weekly multicenter, investigator-masked, randomized, parallel-group study.

Setting: University hospitals and clinical research centers.

Patients: Three hundred forty-nine subjects with facial photodamage.

Intervention: Daily topical application of tazarotene cream (0.01%, 0.025%, 0.05%, and 0.1%) compared with its vehicle and with 0.05% tretinoin emollient cream.

Results: Tazarotene cream and tretinoin cream significantly improved mottled hyperpigmentation and fine wrinkles. At week 24, treatment success rates based on global responses were 67% (39 of 58 subjects) with 0.1% tazarotene, 52% (30 of 58 subjects) with 0.05% tazarotene, 36% (21 of 58 subjects) with 0.025% tazarotene, 41% (24 of 59 subjects) with 0.01% tazarotene, 55% (32 of 58 subjects) with 0.05% tretinoin, and 22% (13 of 58 subjects) with vehicle. Local adverse events, although more frequent with tazarotene at higher concentrations, were generally mild to moderate.

Conclusions: Tazarotene in a cream formulation is safe and is associated with positive changes in the treatment of photodamaged facial skin.
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UV irradiation from the sun causes premature skin aging, also known as photoaging. Photoaged skin is characterized by coarse and fine wrinkles, roughness, yellowness, laxity, uneven pigmentation, brown spots, and a leathery appearance. In contrast, chronologically aged skin that has been protected from the sun is thin and has reduced elasticity but is otherwise smooth and unblemished.

Histologically, photoaged skin demonstrates an alteration in the dermal matrix. Abnormal elastin-containing material and a disorganization of collagen fibrils are the hallmark signs. In addition, the levels of types I and III collagen precursors are reduced in photoaged skin compared with sun-protected buttock skin. Changes in the epidermis can include a decrease in epidermal polarity and an increase in keratinocytic atypia.

The topical retinoid tretinoin (all-trans-retinoic acid) has been shown to improve fine wrinkles, mottled hyperpigmentation, and tactile roughness associated with photoaged skin. Histologically, the improvement in appearance of photoaged skin following topical tretinoin use is associated with compaction of the stratum corneum, thickening of the epidermis, reduced melanin content, and increased glycosaminoglycans. The effacement of wrinkles correlates with a partial restoration of type I procollagen, which is typically reduced in photodamaged skin.

Retinoids like tretinoin and tazarotene mediate their responses primarily through activation of nuclear retinoid receptors. There are 2 families of nuclear retinoic acid receptors: the retinoic acid receptor (RAR) family and the retinoid X receptor family. Each receptor family contains 3 receptor subtypes: α, β, and γ.
PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

Male or female volunteers, 18 years or older, with skin types I (always burns easily and never tans), II (always burns easily and tans minimally), III (burns moderately and tans gradually), or IV (burns minimally and always tans well) and with at least moderate facial photodamage (but otherwise healthy) were eligible for recruitment into this 24-week multicenter study. The design was double blinded (with reference to tazarotene creams vs vehicle), investigator masked (with reference to the comparison vs tretinoin cream), randomized, vehicle controlled, and a parallel comparison. Subjects had to have a baseline facial overall integrated assessment (OIA) of photodamage score of at least 3, on a 6-point scale (0 indicates no photodamage; 1, minimal photodamage; 2, mild photodamage; 3, moderate photodamage; 4, severe photodamage; and 5, very severe photodamage). In addition, a baseline severity for either mottled hyperpigmentation or fine wrinkling of at least 3, on a 6-point scale, was required. Excluded were any volunteers who had used topical glycolic acid, α-hydroxy acid, salicylic acid, lactic acid, or β-hydroxy acid or vitamin A, ascorbic acid-, or vitamin E-containing products within 14 days before study enrollment and those who had used topical or systemic retinoids within 6 months before study enrollment. Pregnant and nursing women were excluded, and women of childbearing potential were required to use a reliable form of contraception, have a normal menstrual cycle, and have a negative urine pregnancy test result at baseline (week 0) and at weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects, and the study was conducted in compliance with Good Clinical Practices, Institutional Human Review Board Regulations, and the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects were randomly assigned to 1 of the 6 treatment groups using a computerized randomization method.

STUDY MEDICATIONS

Study medications evaluated were 0.01%, 0.025%, 0.05%, and 0.1% tazarotene creams, its inactive vehicle cream (Allegan, Inc, Irvine, Calif), and 0.05% tretinoin emollient cream (Renova; Ortho Pharmaceutical Corp, Raritan, NJ).

TREATMENTS

Subjects applied the study medication to the face every evening for 24 weeks. They were instructed to wash and dry their faces before application, and to apply a thin layer of the study medication to lightly cover the face. Facial moisturizers, if used, were to be applied at least 1 hour before or after application of the study medication. Those participating in therapeutic drug monitoring at selected study centers were instructed not to apply the study medication on those evenings before blood sampling. Subjects were advised to avoid excessive sun exposure, to wear protective clothing when exposed to sunlight, and to use a daily sunscreen with a sun protective factor of at least 15.

CLINICAL EVALUATIONS

Evaluations were conducted at a pretreatment screening visit, at weeks 0 (baseline), 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24, and 2 weeks posttreatment (week 26).

EFFICACY MEASURES

Efficacy variables evaluated at all study visits included the following: fine wrinkling, mottled hyperpigmentation, lentigines, irregular depigmentation, tactile roughness, coarse wrinkling, telangiectasia, pore size, elastosis, actinic keratoses, and OIA of photodamage. The OIA measure encompassed all the individual signs of photodamage. A photonic guideline illustrating the OIA grades of minimal, mild, moderate, and severe (3 examples per severity) was provided to assist the investigators in deriving an accurate and reproducible OIA score. Each of the efficacy variables was evaluated on a 6-point scale (0 indicates none; 1, minimal; 2, mild; 3, moderate; 4, severe; and 5, very severe). For these evaluations, evaluators did not have to remember the status of the patient's condition at baseline.

Global response to treatment, on the other hand, was a measure that did involve memory. At each visit, evaluators compared the subject's condition with that at baseline and expressed the response on a 7-point scale (0 indicates complete response [100% resolution of photodamage]; 1, almost complete response [approximately 90% improvement]; 2, marked response [approximately 75% improvement]; 3, moderate response [approximately 50% improvement]; 4, slight response [approximately 25% improvement]; 5, no response; and 6, condition worsened).

At the end of the study, subjects were asked for an evaluation of the cosmetic characteristics of the study medication and for a self-assessment of their overall response to treatment, compared with their condition at baseline, using the following 5-point scale: 1 indicates much improved; 2, somewhat improved; 3, no change; 4, somewhat worse; and 5, much worse. In addition, subjects were asked to rate the cosmetic characteristics of the study medication, to indicate whether they would continue to use the study medication, and to compare the study medication with previously used products to treat photodamage.

CRITERION FOR EFFECTIVENESS

The effectiveness of the test products was deemed clinically significant if the difference in the proportion of Tretinoin and tazarotene display different receptor selectivities. Whereas tretinoin activates the RARs α, β, and γ directly, and the retinoid X receptors α, β, and γ indirectly (through conversion of tretinoin to 9-cis-retinoic acid8), tazarotenic acid, the metabolite of tazarotene, selectively binds to RARs β and γ. Tazarotene is unable to activate retinoid X receptors, either directly or indirectly.7 The predominant RAR in human skin epidermis is RAR γ.9 It is unknown whether the receptor selectivity of tazarotene has any particular clinical significance in the treatment of skin conditions such as photodamage.

As an RAR agonist, tazarotene could be effective in the treatment of photodamage. Preliminary data from a small pilot study10 in 10 subjects suggest that 0.1% tazarotene gel applied to photodamaged forearms for 12 weeks posttreatment (week 26).
subjects who achieved treatment success between the active treatment group and the vehicle group at week 24 was at least 15%. Treatment success was defined as at least a 50% improvement in response to treatment, ie, a moderate response or better (grades 0-3 on the 7-point scale for global response to treatment).

SKIN HISTOLOGIC FEATURES

At one investigational site (Department of Dermatology, Boston University, School of Medicine, Boston, Mass), punch biopsy specimens (2-3 mm) were taken from the lateral canthus (crow’s feet) area of the face from 31 subjects at baseline (week 0) and at the end of the treatment period (week 24). Subjects were instructed to avoid applying the study medication to the area that underwent biopsy until it had healed. The biopsy specimens were fixed in formaldehyde solution and routinely processed. Thin sections (3 µm) were cut from paraffin-embedded tissue and stained with hematoxylin–eosin (general cellular architecture), Fontana–Masson (melanin content), colloidal iron (mucin), or the Verhoeff–van Geison (elastic tissue) stain or with an immunostaining procedure designed to assess type I collagen. Slides containing biopsy specimens were coded to enable evaluation in a blinded fashion. Evaluations were performed in a blinded manner by one of us (J.B.). Computer-assisted image analysis was used to evaluate changes in epidermal thickness, melanin content, capillary dermal thickness, and the percentage of total dermis occupied by elastic tissue. The equipment included a light microscope (model BH2; Olympus America Inc, Melville, NY) and a videotape camera (Dage CCTV; Dage MPI, Inc, Michigan City, Ind). The videotaped images were displayed on a monitor (Trinitron; Sony Co, Tokyo, Japan), and the converted analog images were processed by a computer using specialized software (Sub & Micro Instruments, Atlanta, Ga).

OPTICAL PROFILOMETRY

Skin surface replicas of the periorbital region (crow’s feet) of 53 subjects (8 or 9 subjects per treatment group) were obtained at the beginning and at the end of the treatment period at the Skin Study Center, Broomall, Pa. Skin surface replicas were obtained with silicone surface replica dental impression material (Silflo; Flexico-Developments Ltd, Potters Bar, England). Computer-assisted image analysis of surface topographic variables in these replicas was conducted at an independent laboratory (Skin Study Center) following methods described elsewhere.11,12

PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSES

Therapeutic drug monitoring of tazarotenic acid (the primary metabolite of tazarotene) was conducted at 2 centers (Clinical Research Specialists, Santa Monica, Calif, and University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor) at weeks 4 and 24. Participating subjects (n=93, 14-18 patients per treatment group) were instructed not to apply the study medication on those evenings before the collection of blood for therapeutic drug monitoring. After the first blood collection (for determination of trough concentrations), subjects applied study medication to their faces from a weighed tube. The tube was reweighed after application of the study medication. Participants were instructed not to wash or shower until after the second blood collection had been performed, 3 to 10 hours later. Application of the study medication was resumed the following evening. All plasma samples obtained from tazarotene-treated subjects were analyzed at an independent laboratory (Oneida Research Services, Inc, Whitesboro, NY) using validated liquid chromatographic–tandem mass spectrometric methods with a lower limit of quantitation of 0.005 ng/mL for tazarotenic acid. The parent compound, tazarotene, was not analyzed because a previous study13 has shown that plasma concentrations of tazarotene following topical application of tazarotene gel or cream are typically below the limit of quantitation (<0.005 ng/mL).

SAFETY MEASURES

Throughout the study, subjects were monitored for signs and symptoms of adverse events. Each adverse event was rated according to severity and relationship to study medication. Pregnancy tests for women of childbearing potential were performed during the screening visit and at weeks 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

An intent-to-treat population was used for all analyses. This intent-to-treat population comprised all randomized subjects, including those who discontinued treatment at any time during the treatment period. The proportion of patients who achieved at least a 1-grade improvement from baseline for each of the signs of photodamage was compared by using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method with modified ridit scores and stratified by center.14 When testing for differences among and between multiple treatment groups, the Fisher protected least significant difference was used (α=.05 level). For analysis of the OIA, scores were dichotomized into clinical improvement (at least a 1-grade improvement from baseline) and nonimprovement. Incidences of patients with clinical improvement were analyzed using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test. Global responses to treatment were dichotomized into treatment success (moderate, marked, almost complete, and complete responses) or failure (slight or no response or condition worsened). Because no primary variable was selected, no adjustments for multiplicity were made.

RESULTS

SUBJECTS

Of the 349 subjects enrolled in the study, 59 were randomly assigned to treatment with 0.01% tazarotene, 58 to 0.025% tazarotene, 58 to 0.05% tazarotene, 58 to 0.1% tazarotene, 58 to 0.05% tretinoin, and 58 to vehicle cream.
A total of 312 subjects (89.4%) completed the 24-week treatment period, and 310 (88.8%) completed the 2-week posttreatment period.

Of the 37 subjects who discontinued participation in the study, 18 discontinued for personal reasons, 11 discontinued because of adverse events, 3 discontinued because of relocation, and 2 were lost to follow-up. Of the 2 subjects who did not complete the posttreatment period, one did so for personal reasons and one was lost to follow-up.

**CLINICAL EVALUATIONS**

Significant improvement was found for fine wrinkling, mottled hyperpigmentation, and the OIA of photodamage, and global response to treatment. For fine wrinkling, the proportion of subjects who achieved improvement from baseline of at least 1 grade was significantly higher with 0.01%, 0.05%, and 0.1% tazarotene creams and with 0.05% tretinoin cream than with vehicle at the end of treatment (week 24) (*P* < .002) (Figure 1). This significant difference over vehicle treatment was observed in all active retinoid groups (except 0.025% tazarotene cream) from week 12 (except for 0.05% tazarotene cream at week 16). At the posttreatment evaluations (week 26), all tazarotene formulations and tretinoin were associated with significantly higher rates of subjects having at least a 1-grade improvement from baseline vs vehicle cream (*P* = .02). The differences among the various active study medications (those containing retinoids) were only sporadically significant (data not shown).

For mottled hyperpigmentation only, 0.1% tazarotene cream and 0.05% tretinoin cream vs vehicle yielded significantly higher rates of subjects who achieved improvement of 1 or more grades from baseline to the end of treatment (week 24) (*P* = .007) (Figure 1). This effect, starting at week 8, occurred more quickly than the effect for wrinkle ef-facement, and was sustained through the posttreatment visit (*P* = .05) (except for week 20) (Figure 2).

Incidences of clinical improvement for the OIA of photodamage were significantly higher in the 0.1% tazarotene cream treatment group than in the vehicle group as early as week 8 (*P* = .007) (Figure 3). The 0.1% tazarotene cream continued to yield higher improvement rates than vehicle through week 26 (*P* = .002). The 0.05% tretinoin cream yielded higher improvement rates than vehicle from week 12 through week 26 (*P* = .007). At the end of the study period (week 24), all tazarotene formulations and tretinoin yielded significantly higher improvement rates than vehicle (*P* ≤ .03). This effect lasted through the posttreatment period (week 26) (*P* ≤ .03). After 24 weeks of treatment, the proportion of subjects who achieved at least a 1-grade improvement from baseline was 29 (50%) in the 0.1% tazarotene–treated group, 24 (41%) in the 0.05% tazarotene–treated group, 21 (36%)
in the 0.025% tazarotene–treated group, 24 (41%) in the 0.01% tazarotene–treated group, 29 (50%) in the tretinoin-treated group, and 11 (19%) in the vehicle-treated group.

Global response to treatment, a variable that compared the severity of the condition after treatment with the severity of the condition before treatment, was deemed successful if treatment resulted in at least 50% improvement (ie, treatment success). Starting as early as week 8 and lasting through the posttreatment period, treatment success rates in the 0.1% and 0.05% tazarotene–treated groups were significantly higher than in the vehicle-treated group \((P<.02)\) \(\text{Figure 4}\). The 0.1% tazarotene cream–treated group demonstrated significantly higher success rates than the 0.05% tretinoin cream–treated group at weeks 12 and 20 \((P<.02)\). The 0.05% tazarotene cream– and the 0.05% tretinoin cream–treated groups showed a similar global response to treatment, except at week 8, where the 0.05% tazarotene–treated group, but not the 0.05% tretinoin–treated group, had significantly higher treatment success rates than the vehicle-treated group \((P<.02)\). Treatment success rates in the 0.01% tazarotene–treated group were significantly higher than in the vehicle-treated group at week 12 and from week 20 through week 26 \((P<.03)\). The 0.05% tretinoin cream yielded significantly higher success rates than vehicle from week 12 through week 26 \((P<.02)\). At the end of the study (week 24), 39 (67%) of the patients in the 0.1% tazarotene–treated group achieved treatment success, as did 30 (52%) in the 0.05% tazarotene–treated group, 21 (36%) in the 0.025% tazarotene–treated group, 24 (41%) in the 0.01% tazarotene–treated group, and 32 (55%) in the 0.05% tretinoin–treated group. Only 13 (23%) of the subjects in the vehicle-treated group achieved treatment success by week 24. Thus, the criterion of effectiveness, which stated that a 15–percentage point or greater difference between an active treatment group and a vehicle-treated group in the incidence of treatment success at week 24 would be considered clinically significant, was met by all treatment groups, except the 0.025% tazarotene cream–treated group.

Significant differences among the 6 treatment groups could also be shown at various time points for the following efficacy variables: lentigines, elastosis, and, to a lesser degree, irregular depigmentation (data not shown). No statistically significant differences among the 6 treatment groups could be shown for the following efficacy variables: coarse wrinkling, tactile roughness, pore size, and telangiectasia. The severity of actinic keratoses was decreased in all treatment groups. Of the subjects who had actinic keratoses at the beginning of the study, 73% to 82% (6 of 8 subjects in the 0.1% tazarotene–treated group, 8 of 10 subjects in the 0.05% tazarotene–treated group, 9 of 12 subjects in the 0.025% tazarotene–treated group, 9 of 12 subjects in the 0.01% tazarotene–treated group, and 9 of 11 subjects in the tretinoin-treated group) improved by more than 1 grade, vs 60% (3 of 5 subjects) in the vehicle-treated group (difference not statistically significant \(P=.41\) at week 24).

BIOPSY SPECIMEN EVALUATIONS

Baseline and posttreatment facial biopsy specimens were obtained in 31 subjects: 4 treated with 0.1% tazarotene, 16 with lower concentrations of tazarotene, 7 with tretinoin, and 4 with vehicle cream. Examination of the baseline specimens by light microscopy revealed minimal cellular atypia in most of the subjects, which was consistent with the moderate degree of photodamage exhibited by the subjects. Keratinocytic atypia was absent, and minimal melanocytic atypia was present in only 3 (10%) of the 31 specimens. The usual basket-weave pattern of the stratum corneum was seen in 18 (58%) of the baseline samples, and a mixed pattern of compaction and basket weave was seen in 5 (16%) of the specimens. Dermal solar elastosis was present in all specimens, and a lymphocytic perivascular infiltrate in the dermis was observed in 4 (13%) of all specimens.

After 24 weeks, all active treatments with topical retinoids increased the epidermal thickness. These increases in epidermal thickness were statistically significant compared with baseline \((P<.001)\) but not with vehicle \(\text{Table}\). All topical retinoids significantly reduced the melanin content during the study \((P<.04)\). Topical retinoid treatment also appeared to result in more compact morphologic features of the stratum corneum, especially treatment with 0.1% tazarotene cream, but the changes were not statistically significant. Furthermore, all topical retinoid treatment resulted in an increase in the number of granular cell layers. No statistically significant changes were noted in cellular atypia, epidermal mucin level, dermal elastosis, perivascular inflammation, or type I collagen immunostaining.

OPTICAL PROFILOMETRY

Optical profilometric analysis of skin surface replicas revealed a modest decrease from baseline in surface roughness in all treatment groups. No statistically significant differences between the treatment groups were detected.
PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSES

Therapeutic drug monitoring was conducted at 2 centers during weeks 4 and 24. Blood samples were collected from subjects before application of the study medication and 3 to 10 hours after application, to determine plasma concentrations of tazarotenic acid (the active metabolite of tazarotene). Results showed that the mean plasma tazarotenic acid concentrations generally increased with increasing strength of tazarotene cream. At week 24, plasma tazarotenic acid concentrations after application of the creams were 0.02±0.01 ng/mL in the 14 subjects who had used 0.01% tazarotene cream, 0.02±0.02 ng/mL in the 12 subjects who had used 0.025% tazarotene cream, 0.09±0.05 ng/mL in the 13 subjects who had used 0.05% tazarotene cream, and 0.10±0.09 ng/mL in the 13 subjects who had used 0.1% tazarotene cream (data are given as mean ± SD). The highest individual plasma concentration detected was 0.34 ng/mL (in a subject who used 0.1% tazarotene cream). Comparison of posidose concentrations during weeks 4 and 24 showed that the tazarotenic acid concentrations were not significantly different, indicating that drug accumulation did not occur.

ADVERSE EVENTS

Adverse events were reported by most patients (249 [71.3%] of the 349 patients). Most of the reported adverse events were determined to be treatment related (206 [59.0%] of 349). Although there was a relatively high incidence of treatment-related adverse events in subjects treated with the higher concentrations of tazarotene, the adverse events were generally mild to moderate. The most frequent adverse events were signs and symptoms of local skin irritation, such as mild to moderate desquamation, burning sensation, erythema, pruritus, and dry skin. Such skin-associated adverse events are typical of topical retinoid therapy. Treatment-related adverse events rated by the investigator as “severe” were reported by less than 2 (3%) of the subjects in the 0.1%, 0.05%, and 0.01% tazarotene–treated groups and by 3 (5%) of the subjects in the 0.05% tretinoin–treated group. In each treatment group, 3 (5%) of the subjects or less discontinued participation in the study due to adverse events.

SUBJECT SELF-ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION OF COSMETIC CHARACTERISTICS

Subjects were asked to evaluate their overall response to treatment compared with their condition at baseline at all follow-up visits, using a 5-point scale. Significant differences among the treatments were noted from week 4 through week 26; 0.1% tazarotene cream and 0.05% tretinoin cream were favored over vehicle (except for 0.05% tretinoin at week 20) (data not shown).

At the end of the study (week 24), subjects were asked to rate the cosmetic characteristics of the study medication they had been using. Significant differences in ratings were found for the “appearance of skin (immediately) before application,” for which 0.1% and 0.05% tazarotene received significantly higher ratings than 0.05% tretinoin (P<.02), and for the “ability to blend into skin,” for which 0.1% tazarotene was favored over 0.05% tretinoin (P=.03). When comparing the study medication with previously used photodamage therapies, subjects gave significantly higher ratings to the 0.1% and 0.05% tazarotene and the 0.05% tretinoin creams than to vehicle (P=.04) (data not shown).

COMMENT

In this double-blind investigator-masked comparison study, tazarotene was shown to be effective in the amelioration of the signs of photodamage. Significant im-

---

**Biopsy Specimen Evaluations Before and After Treatment for Photodamage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skin Histologic Variable</th>
<th>0.1% (n=4)</th>
<th>0.05%, 0.025%, and 0.01% (n=16)</th>
<th>0.05% Tretinoin (n=7)</th>
<th>Vehicle (n=4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 0</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidermal area, %</td>
<td>34.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>44.8 (15.5)†</td>
<td>31.8 (7.7)</td>
<td>29.2 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanin area, %</td>
<td>19.7 (5.7)</td>
<td>10.9 (3.8)‡</td>
<td>16.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>16.4 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of granular cell layers</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypia‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratinocytic</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanocytic</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perivascular inflammation‡</td>
<td>0.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal elastosis‡</td>
<td>2.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I collagen staining‡</td>
<td>2.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastin area, %</td>
<td>14.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>18.2 (8.1)†</td>
<td>16.7 (8.4)</td>
<td>20.6 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as mean (SD). Punch biopsy specimens were taken from the lateral canthus area of the face from a limited number of subjects before (week 0) and after (week 24) daily treatment for photodamage with the treatments listed. Biopsy specimens were fixed, processed, stained, and analyzed in a masked manner.

†There was a statistically significant (P=.05) change from baseline (week 0).
‡Data are given as grades, which range from 0 (absent) to 3 (clearly present).
Evaluations in reports of the efficacy of 0.05% tretinoin cream in the treatment of facial wrinkles have been consistent with clinical improvement. Comparative efficacy of 0.1% tazarotene gel on photodamaged facial skin surface, when compared with baseline, was assessed. Mean plasma concentrations of tretinoin and its metabolites were significantly lower than the endogenous concentrations evaluated. For local irritation, tazarotene cream had the best efficacy of the 4 tazarotene concentrations evaluated. Local irritation was the only adverse event significantly higher in the group of subjects treated with 0.1% tazarotene cream than in the vehicle-treated group, from week 8 throughout the rest of the study.

Topical tazarotene also induced favorable histologic changes in photodamaged skin, similar to those described in an earlier pilot study and those described for tretinoin. These changes were significant when compared with baseline and included increases in epidermal thickness and decreases in melanin content. No increases in cellular atypia in either keratinocytes or melanocytes were observed with topical retinoids treated with inactive vehicle cream. Overall, 0.1% tazarotene cream had the best efficacy of the 4 tazarotene concentrations evaluated. Local irritation was reasonably well tolerated, although somewhat more irritating at higher concentrations.

The results of this study indicate that the synthetic retinoid tazarotene is safe and is associated with positive changes in the treatment of photodamaged facial skin. Tazarotene cream reduces the severity of fine wrinkles and mottled hyperpigmentation and ameliorates the overall condition of photodamaged skin when compared with treatment with inactive vehicle cream. Overall, 0.1% tazarotene cream produced significantly higher treatment success rates than the 0.05% tretinoin cream at weeks 12 and 20. Between the 0.05% formulations, tazarotene and tretinoin were comparable in treatment success rates, although in OIA, tretinoin tended to provide higher improvement rates.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this study indicate that the synthetic retinoid tazarotene is safe and is associated with positive changes in the treatment of photodamaged facial skin. Tazarotene cream reduces the severity of fine wrinkles and mottled hyperpigmentation and ameliorates the overall condition of photodamaged skin when compared with treatment with inactive vehicle cream. Overall, 0.1% tazarotene cream had the best efficacy of the 4 tazarotene concentrations evaluated. For local irritation, tazarotene was reasonably well tolerated, although somewhat more irritating at higher concentrations.
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News and Notes

Richard B. Stoughton Memorial Travel Fellowship applications are invited from US dermatology residents. The fellowship will enable a dermatologist in training to present a poster and attend the British Association of Dermatologists Annual Scientific Meeting in Edinburgh from July 9 to July 12, 2002. The winner will receive free registration and admittance to the president’s reception and annual dinner. Accommodation will also be offered free of charge.

The closing date for completed applications is Friday, February 8, 2002. For further details and application forms please contact the BAD Fellowship Co-ordinator, British Association of Dermatologists, 19 Fitzroy Square, London W1T 6EH, England. Phone: 44-20-7383-0266; Fax: 44-20-7388-5263 (e-mail: admin@bad.org.uk).