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Objective: To examine the significance of eccentric hyperpigmentation (EH), central hyperpigmentation (CH), multifocal hyper/hypopigmentation (MH/HP), and the multicomponent pattern (MCP) in melanocytic lesions lacking specific dermoscopic features of melanoma.

Design: A total of 3367 benign and malignant melanocytic lesions (n=341 melanomas, excluding lentigo maligna and lentigo maligna melanoma) were examined to identify those lesions lacking specific dermoscopic features of melanoma but having any of the global patterns of EH, CH, MH/HP, and MCP.

Setting: Dermoscopic images were collected from lesions excised or undergoing sequential digital monitoring from the Sydney Melanoma Diagnostic Centre, a tertiary referral institution located in Sydney, Australia.

Main Outcome Measure: The odds ratio (OR) for melanoma of EH, CH, MH/HP, and MCP.

Results: While EH (OR, 3.3; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.5-4.6) and MCP (OR, 15.4; 95% CI, 11.9-19.9) were significant predictors of melanoma when total melanomas vs nevi were analyzed, there was no significant difference between the frequency of any of the global patterns in melanomas vs benign nevi lacking specific dermoscopic features of melanoma.

Conclusion: Based on our study results and previous prevalence data on these global patterns in benign nevi, we do not believe that lesions with EH or MCP require closer observation than other benign nevi lacking specific dermoscopic features of melanoma.
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TYPICAL (CLARK, DYSPLASTIC) and banal acquired nevi have previously been classified according to global structural features found on dermoscopy.1,2 Such features include reticular, globular, or homogeneous patterns with combinations of those types: eccentric hyperpigmentation (EH), central hyperpigmentation (CH), and multifocal hyper/hypopigmentation (MH/HP). In a follow-up study of both benign and malignant melanocytic lesions by Blum et al,3 certain global patterns were found more frequently in melanoma. In particular, the multicomponent pattern (MCP) with all 3 reticular, globular, and homogeneous structures had an odds ratio (OR) of 12.5 for melanoma, 2.9 for EH, and 2.2 for MH/HP. Such observations have been recently reproduced by others.4

Because of these observations, EH, MH/HP, and MCP have been considered indications for close monitoring or excision of nevi. However, to date, no study (to our knowledge) has examined these patterns in melanocytic lesions that have none of the more specific dermoscopic features of melanoma. With this in mind, we examined a large series of sequential dermoscopic images of melanocytic lesions with these global patterns but without other specific dermoscopic features of melanoma. The aim was to define which global patterns may predict melanoma in nevi that show no dermoscopic features of melanoma.

METHODS

Dermoscopic images of 3367 melanocytic lesions, excluding lentigo maligna and lentigo maligna melanoma (n=341 melanomas), imaged at the Sydney Melanoma Unit (Sydney Melanoma Diagnostic Centre, Sydney, Australia) since 1991 were examined by 2 observers (A.A. and D.A.). The images were obtained using a dermoscopic camera (Dermaphot; Heine Ltd, Herrsching, Germany) or a digital imaging device (So-
larScan; Polartecnics Ltd, Sydney, Australia). Only those lesions with a histopathologic diagnosis or those that remained unchanged following short-term (2.5–4.5 months) digital monitoring (and hence diagnosed as benign). were of good quality, and were inside the full field of view (14 × 9 mm, Dermaphot; 24 × 18 mm, SolarScan) were used in the study (Figure 1). The lesions were then examined, with the observers blinded to diagnosis, for melanoma-specific features using the Menzies method. For a diagnosis of melanoma to be made according to the Menzies method, a lesion must have none of the 2 negative features of symmetry of pattern, it cannot have a single color, and it must have 1 or more of the following 9 positive features of melanoma: blue-white veil, pseudopods, radial streaming, peripheral black dots or globules, multiple brown dots, multiple blue-gray dots, scarlike depigmentation, broadened network, and multiple (5–6) colors. In this study, the Menzies method was chosen because it has repeatedly been shown to have the highest sensitivity for melanoma compared with other dermoscopy methods. All lesions were then scored for the global patterns of EH (true and pseudo), CH, MH/HP, and MCP. True EH was defined as a single focus greater than 1 mm in diameter of the darkest pigment (at least dark brown) that touches the border but does not traverse the lesion. Pseudo-EH shows a single dark focus greater than 1 mm that does not touch the border but is found in half (bisected) of the lesion. Central hyperpigmentation is a single focus of the darkest pigment greater than 1 mm diameter that does not touch the border but is found in the center (of gravity) of the lesion.

**RESULTS**

When the frequency of the global dermoscopic patterns of all melanomas was compared with those of benign melanocytic lesions, true EH (OR, 3.3) and the MCP (OR, 15.4) were significant predictors of melanoma (Table 1). However, 92% (n = 315) of melanomas and 28% (n = 846) of benign melanocytic lesions had specific dermoscopic features of melanoma (positive Menzies score). When all lesions without specific dermoscopic features of melanoma (negative Menzies score) were examined, there was no significant difference in any of the global patterns between the dermoscopically featureless melanomas (n = 26) and the benign melanocytic lesions (Table 2).

A number of investigators have reported that the global features of EH and MH/HP occur in benign nevi. In the original study by Hofmann-Wellenhof et al, who examined 829 atypical nevi in 23 individuals, 7.6% of the lesions had EH and 29% had MH/HP. None had MCP. Bologna et al, using nondermoscopic naked-eye examination found that only 3 of 59 nevi (5%) with EH (black dots) were melanoma arising within a nevus. It is unknown whether the 3 melanomas had dermoscopic features of melanoma. Pizzichetta et al described a small series of childhood nevi with EH, with some losing the feature over time. Zalaudek et al examined 1268 nevi in a wide age-selected population of 50 individuals and showed that 5% had EH, with no age trend, and 19% had MH/HP, which were less common in individuals younger than 31 years. In a more recent, larger study in which skin type and global nevus patterns of consecutive white-skinned patients in pigmented lesion clinics were analyzed, similar results were seen, with 3.1% of nevi having EH, 19.3% having MH/HP, and 20.7% having CH.

Two studies have examined these global patterns both in benign melanocytic lesions and in melanomas. In the original study by Blum et al, 30% of the 254 lesions ex-
examined were melanomas. In that study, EH was a significant predictor of melanoma, with an OR of 2.9, which was consistent with our results (OR, 3.3). Their observation that an MCP was a highly significant feature of melanoma was also consistent with our findings (OR, 13 in their study vs OR, 15 in our study). They did not report whether any of the melanomas lacked the specific dermoscopic features of melanoma. In contrast to our study findings, MH/HP was also seen to be a less, but still significant predictor of melanoma (OR, 2.2). The low frequency of this pigmentation in both nevi and melanomas that we observed may be attributable to a more stringent definition.
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Table 2. Frequency of Global Patterns in 26 Melanomas and 2180 Benign Melanocytic Lesions Lacking Specific Dermoscopic Features of Melanoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Pattern</th>
<th>Melanomas</th>
<th>Benign Melanocytic Lesions</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True eccentric</td>
<td>63 (18.5)</td>
<td>192 (6.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.5-4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-eccentric</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>61 (2.0)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>5 (1.5)</td>
<td>90 (3.0)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper/hypopigmentation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (0.5)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicomponent</td>
<td>174 (51)</td>
<td>470 (15.5)</td>
<td>15.4 (11.9-19.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pattern</td>
<td>129 (37.8)</td>
<td>2297 (75.9)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.15-0.24)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a When a global pattern was significantly different using χ² analysis (or Fisher exact test when relevant), the odds ratio for the diagnosis of melanoma was calculated.
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Table 1. Frequency of Global Dermoscopic Patterns in 341 Melanomas and 3026 Benign Melanocytic Lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Pattern</th>
<th>Melanomas</th>
<th>Benign Melanocytic Lesions</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True eccentric</td>
<td>63 (18.5)</td>
<td>192 (6.3)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.5-4.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-eccentric</td>
<td>6 (1.7)</td>
<td>61 (2.0)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>5 (1.5)</td>
<td>90 (3.0)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper/hypopigmentation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (0.5)</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicomponent</td>
<td>174 (51)</td>
<td>470 (15.5)</td>
<td>15.4 (11.9-19.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pattern</td>
<td>129 (37.8)</td>
<td>2297 (75.9)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.15-0.24)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Negative Menzies score.

b There was no significant difference in any of the global patterns between
melanomas and benign melanocytic lesions (2-sided Fisher exact test).
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