harm reduction interventions. Our study introduces a novel methodology that may be used to contribute to research in the field of dermatology. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings.
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**Table. Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Criteria Among 29 Successive Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Value</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, No.</td>
<td>Male 9 Female 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at dermatological examination, mean, y</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major criteria, No. (%)</td>
<td>≥3 Angiofibromas or fibrous cephalic plaques 27 (93) ≥3 Hypomelanotic macules ≥5 mm in diameter 20 (69) ≥2 Ungle fibromas 22 (76) Shagreen patch 13 (45) Multiple retinal hamartomas 3 (10) Cortical dysplasia 23 (79) Subependymal nodule 11 (38) Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma 6 (21) Cardiac rhabdomyolipoma 3 (10) Lymphangioleiomyomatosis 3 (10) ≥2 Angiomyolipomas 23 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor criteria, No. (%)</td>
<td>≥3 Dental enamel pits 6 (21) ≥2 Intraoral fibromas 0 “Confetti” skin lesion 6 (21) Nonrenal hamartoma 2 (7) Multiple renal cysts 11 (38) Retinal achromatic patch 0 Vascular anomaly, No. (%) Nevus anemicus 2 (7) Bier spot 2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation, No. (%)</td>
<td>TSC1 6 (21) TSC2 14 (48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results** | Classic dermatological findings of TSC were observed in 29 patients (Table). The following 4 patients had nevus anemicus of Bier spots.

**Patient 1.** A woman in her 30s with renal cysts had angiofibromas, shagreen patches, and ungual fibromas. In addition, she manifested 2 subependymal nodules, cortical dysplasia, and renal angiomyolipomas, and she was found to have a TSC1 (OMIM 605284) mutation. Dermatological examination also revealed a nevus anemicus on the thorax.

**Patient 2.** As a young girl, this patient was thought to have TSC because she had epilepsy, cortical dysplasia, and hypomelanotic macules. She was first examined in the Clinique Dermatologique, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, when she was in her early 20s. Angiofibromas, 2 ungual fibromas, hypomelanotic macules, 2 shagreen patches, and dental enamel pits were noted, as was a left thoracic nevus anemicus (Figure). She also had subependymal nodules, renal cysts, and renal angiomyolipomas.

**Patient 3.** A 5-month-old boy with a history of cardiac rhabdomyolipomas was referred to the Control of Anthropology and West symp.
drome had multiple retinal hamartomas, cortical dysplasia, a subependymal giant cell astrocytoma, and renal angiomyolipomas. He was found to have a TSC2 (OMIM 191092) mutation. On dermatological examination, he had angiofibromas, 2 ungual fibromas, 2 shagreen patches, multiple hypomelanotic macules of the limbs and trunk, and dental enamel pits. Bier spots were noted on the upper limbs.

**Patient 4.** A young patient having TSC with angiofibromas and epilepsy had cortical dysplasia and renal angiomyolipomas. On dermatological examination at age 18 years, he manifested angiofibromas, dental enamel pits, and hypomelanotic macules, as well as pale macules of the limbs, especially on the forearms, which were noted to be Bier spots.

**Discussion** | In this series, 4 of 29 patients with TSC (14%) had nevus anemicus or Bier spots. Nevus anemicus has been reported in patients with type 1 neurofibromatosis,5 phakomatosis pigmentovascularis,6 and port-wine stains.7 Bier spots are a common insignificant finding. These vascular manifestations could be minor cutaneous markers of TSC, occurring in a subgroup of patients with the disease. Compared with the aesthetically disfiguring facial angiofibromas, these minor skin signs may go unnoticed and are usually of no concern to the patient. Future research is needed to determine if these signs are significant within the context of TSC.
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**Analysis of Online Ratings of Dermatologists**

Online physician rating sites (PRSs) allow patients to recommend, grade, and publicly comment on physician performance. In 2015, PRSs experienced up to 6.4 million hits.1 Despite increases in the popularity of PRSs, little information exists regarding the online ratings of dermatologists. We investigated the patterns of ratings of dermatologists on commonly used PRSs to better understand the information available to patients online. We hypothesized that the mean online ratings for dermatologists are high, consistent with ratings reported in the literature for other subspecialties.2

**Methods** | One hundred dermatologists were randomly selected from August 2 to 28, 2015, from a public list of 11 848 members of the American Academy of Dermatology. Institutional review board approval was not obtained because no patients were involved, data were obtained from public sources, and data are presented in aggregate. Five popular websites were searched for physician ratings: ZocDoc.com, Yelp.com, RateMDs.com, Vitals.com, and Healthgrades.com.3 Mean overall ratings (all websites used a 5-star scale), total number of ratings, and the number of negative comments were recorded for each dermatologist per website. A repeated-measures design was used to determine if mean 5-star ratings were consistent across different websites, and unpaired 2-sided t tests were used to analyze whether sex or subspecialty training had effects on ratings. The numbers of negative written comments were compared using a χ² test (critical value, 7.82; α = .05) to determine if certain websites had significantly fewer negative comments than other websites. Data analysis was conducted from August 19 to October 10, 2015.
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