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Paternal Germ Cell Mosaicism in Autosomal Dominant Pachyonychia Congenita
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Background: Pachyonychia congenita (PC) is a genodermatosis caused by mutations in 1 of 4 known keratin genes, including KRT6A, KRT6B, KRT16, or KRT17. The most common mode of inheritance is autosomal dominant. Families with an affected parent are routinely counseled about the 50% transmission risk to each offspring. In some cases, families with a rare disorder like PC can initially present with an affected child while both parents are unaffected. This is usually the result of a spontaneous in utero mutation, and the risk of subsequent offspring being affected with the same condition is negligible (but may be increased above the general population’s risk, although the exact risk is not currently known for PC).

Observations: We discuss a case of 2 affected children born to unaffected parents. We performed mutational analyses of all 4 individuals in the family on DNA extracted from lymphocytes. Owing to the unusual presentation of 2 affected siblings, we also extracted DNA from the father’s sperm cells for keratin gene mutational analysis. We describe the first case, to our knowledge, of germ cell mosaicism in PC.

Conclusion: Counseling of unaffected parents with a first child diagnosed as having PC should entail a discussion of the possibility of germ cell mosaicism contributing to an increased risk of having subsequent affected children.


Author Affiliations:
Department of Dermatology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City (Drs Pho, Eliason, and Leachman); Epithelial Genetics Group, Division of Molecular Medicine, Colleges of Life Sciences and Medicine, Dentistry, & Nursing, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland (Drs Smith and McLean); GeneDx, Gaithersburg, Maryland (Drs Konecki and Bale); and Department of Dermatology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland (Dr Cohen).

A UTOSOMAL DOMINANTLY inherited pachyonychia congenita (PC) is a rare, dominant negative keratin disorder that is associated with mutations in the genes for keratins 6a, 6b, 16, or 17. The most prominent manifestations of the condition include a painful, usually focal palmoplantar keratoderma, thickened nails, pilosebaceous cysts (including steatocystomas), oral leukokeratosis, follicular keratoses, and sometimes natal teeth.1 Although the disorder seems to be completely penetrant, the spectrum and severity of disease manifestations in PC can vary considerably.1

When the first child in an otherwise asymptomatic family is diagnosed as having PC, it is usually due to a spontaneous mutation that developed in utero.1 However, it is also possible that the diagnosis could be missed in a parent with exceptionally mild disease symptoms, or that germ cell mosaicism exists in an asymptomatic parent. There are 3 possible mutational events that can occur in unaffected parents to produce an affected child. First, the keratin gene mutation can occur during development of the parental egg or sperm, or during early postfertilization before cellular differentiation into germ cells and somatic cells occurs (Figure 1A). This scenario results in an offspring who has both somatic cells and germ cells affected with the keratin gene mutation. The offspring is clinically affected with PC, and the offspring’s children have a 50% risk of inheriting the same mutation. In the second scenario, illustrated in Figure 1B, the mutation occurs during early embryogenesis after differentiation of the cells into somatic and germ cells. In this case, the mutation developed in 1 of the somatic cells and not in germ cells (which are passed on to future generations). Because the offspring cannot transmit the affected PC gene to progeny, there is no increased risk to future pregnancies. The offspring may or may not exhibit a PC phenotype, depending on whether the de novo mutation affected cutaneous lineage cells. In skin diseases, this type of somatic mosaicism typically leads to a Blaschkoid pattern of involvement, as exemplified by cutaneous disorders such as segmental epidermal nevi, segmental...
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REPORT OF CASES

The affected female proband was noted to have thick-
ened nails and oral leukokeratosis at birth and began de-
velling planter blistering around 18 months of age
(Figure 2A). Mutation testing of DNA extracted from
lymphocytes and buccal swab samples revealed a K6a
(PC-1; OMIM 167200) N172del mutation, which is a
3-nucleotide (AAC) deletion (Figure 2A).3 A rigorously
performed family history revealed no phenotypic fea-
tures of PC in any family members, and thus additional
family members were not offered genetic testing. When
the mother became pregnant for a second time, she was
told that it was very unlikely that the second child would
have PC. However, when the second child was born, he
manifested nail discoloration and thickening similar to the
characteristics in his sister (Figure 2B), and DNA muta-
tion analysis on a buccal swab sample confirmed the same
mutation seen in his older sibling (Figure 2B). The par-
ents were counseled and consented to DNA mutation test-
ing from buccal swab samples. Neither parent carried
the same deleterious K6a mutation in the buccal mucosa
(Figure 2C and D). However, sperm cells collected from
the father revealed the presence of the K6a N172del mu-
tation (Figure 2D). Thus, the father had a germ cell mosa-
icism that was transmittable to his children but not a
somatic mutation leading to a clinical phenotype.

Herein we report for the first time, to our knowledge, an
instructive case of 2 siblings shown to carry the same PC
keratin gene mutation as a result of germ cell mosa-
icism. This case demonstrates the need for caution when
counseling unaffected parents based on the first af-
fected child in the family. There are several key points
when counseling parents who have had a child with PC.
First, the probability that subsequent offspring might be
affected with the same condition (“recurrence risk”) is
based on obtaining a thorough family history and un-
covering any mild phenotypic features in family mem-
bers (especially in parents), since PC is known to be au-
tosomal dominantly inherited. Performing genetic testing
of clinically unaffected parents is not a standard of care
owing to genetic testing costs, insurance coverage for un-
warranted genetic testing, and the rarity of germ cell mosa-
icism in most diseases with a known mode of inheri-
tance. Second, genetic counseling should address
autosomal dominant inheritance and how wild-type and
mutant alleles are passed to future offspring and mani-
fest as phenotypic disease traits. In addition, pitfalls in
the classic Mendelian modes of inheritance, including
spontaneous mutations, germ cell mosaicism, and pa-
ternity issues, should be discussed.

Although there is a potential referral bias to a Web-
based registry that favors identification of the first re-
ported case in a family, the International Pachyonychia
Congenita Research Registry (IPCCR) estimates that in
approximately 64% of the cases (92 of 143 families), PC
arises from a spontaneous mutation.3 In most cases in
which no phenotypic risk factors for PC are identified
in the parents, the PC in the child most likely resulted
from a very early in utero spontaneous mutation and not
from a germ cell mosaicism, as demonstrated in our case
report. Mutation testing was not performed in the par-
ents from these families for reasons discussed in the pre-
vious section. However, none of these families showed
multiple affected siblings from unaffected parents. A limi-
tation in this observation is that many families have chos-
en to have too small a family size to reasonably con-
clude whether germ cell mosaicism is present. Even if
germ cell mosaicism occurred in such a small family unit,
only 50% of offspring would be affected, and disease re-
currence might still not be observed.

Germ cell mosaicism has been reported in other geno-
dermatoses, including neurofibromatosis type 1, dystro-
phic epidermolysis bullosa, and epidermolytic hyperkeratosis. Previous studies of autosomal and X-linked chromosome diseases with evidence of germ cell mosaicism revealed that up to 50% of carriers also have mutations in somatic cells. Thus, uncovering an apparent spontaneous mutation case in an otherwise asymptomatic family...
can often lead to diagnosis in a mildly symptomatic parent. It is important to note that patients with a mosaic manifestation of disease (eg, a patient with Blaschkoid Darier disease) should be warned of the possibility of germ cell involvement and the possibility of transmitting a more severe (generalized) manifestation of the disorder to offspring.

One relatively common explanation for observing 2 affected children with unaffected parents is autosomal recessive inheritance. Two previous case reports described an autosomal recessive form of PC. but these case reports describing this mode of inheritance are not substantiated by confirmed molecular diagnosis. The IPCRR has now enrolled 235 individuals with genetically confirmed PC mutations belonging to a total of 143 families, and there is currently not a single case in which PC keratin mutations have demonstrated a recessive pattern of inheritance. Taken together, these data suggest that a recessive form of PC has not yet been identified, and parents should be counseled accordingly.

The main lesson to be learned in the present case is that although germ cell mosaicism is rare, it can be a cause of a second affected child born to otherwise asymptomatic parents. Counseling of clinically unaffected parents with an affected child should include mention of recurrence risk, including the very rare possibility of germ cell mosaicism. Recurrence risk of germ cell mosaicism in specific and well studied autosomal dominant inherited diseases ranges from 6% to 30% (presumably depending on the percentage of germ cells affected with the mutation), but PC-specific rates will become available only as mutation testing is applied to cases similar to the family described herein.

In summary, we describe the first case of germ cell mosaicism in Pachyonychia congenita. Germ cell mosaicism is rarely encountered in clinical practice, and the rates of occurrence vary among different disorders. Indeed, it is only identified when a child with disease resulting from presumed spontaneous mutation has a sibling who presents with disease symptoms and has an identical mutation. The parents’ egg or sperm cells are rarely tested because of the difficulty of obtaining specimens for evaluation, especially ovarian cells, and the fear of precipitating parental disputes or paternity concerns. As genetic testing for inherited cutaneous syndromes becomes more readily accessible and is used more frequently, it is important to develop counseling strategies that incorporate genetic risks including traditional Mendelian inheritance, spontaneous mutation rate, germ cell mosaicism, and paternal identity.
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