OBJECTIVE: To evaluate overall trends in melanoma mortality rates among non-Hispanic whites by educational level.

Design: Descriptive study.

Setting: Death certificate records from 26 states, representing approximately 45% of the US population as reported by the National Center for Health Statistics, with recorded educational level information and population data from the US Bureau of Census Current Population Survey.

Patients: Recorded deaths from malignant melanoma in non-Hispanic whites reported from 1993 through 2007.

Main Outcome Measures: Age-standardized mortality rates for melanoma were evaluated by educational attainment (a marker of socioeconomic status) among non-Hispanic whites (aged 25-64 years) from 1993 through 2007. Rate ratios assessed the time trend in age-adjusted death rates by sex and educational level. Mortality differentials in educational level were measured using the regression-based Relative Index of Inequality. All statistical tests were 2-sided.

Results: Melanoma mortality declined significantly between 1993-1997 and 2003-2007 in men (RR [rate ratio], 0.916; 95% CI, 0.878-0.954; P < .001) and women (RR, 0.907; 95% CI, 0.857-0.957; P < .001). However, these declines occurred only among the most educated persons (≥13 years of education irrespective of sex), and nonsignificant increases were found among the least-educated individuals, specifically men (P = .17). As a result, the Relative Index of Inequality by education in melanoma mortality in 2003-2007 relative to 1993-1997 (baseline) widened by 51.7% in men and by 35.7% in women.

Conclusions: Recent declines in melanoma mortality rates among non-Hispanic whites in the United States mainly reflect declines among the most-educated individuals. The widening disparities in melanoma mortality rates by education calls for early detection strategies to effectively target high-risk, less-educated, non-Hispanic white individuals.


MELANOMA, WHICH IS the most commonly fatal type of skin cancer, is a growing component of the cancer burden in light-skinned populations in the United States and worldwide.1,2 Approximately 70,230 cases of melanoma and 8,790 deaths were expected to occur in the United States in 2011.3 Since the early 1990s, melanoma mortality rates in the United States have been declining in non-Hispanic white adults younger than 65 years, despite the persistent increase in incidence.2

Although previous studies have documented widening disparities in mortality rates for cancers of the lung, colon and rectum, breast, and prostate by educational status in the past 20 years, similar information for melanoma mortality is largely unknown.4 Studies have suggested that socioeconomic status (SES) factors may influence melanoma survival and related prognostic factors, including advanced stage, tumor thickness, and case-fatality rates.5-10 Early recognition of melanoma is the cornerstone of melanoma control,11,12 and, most recently, a large population-based case-control study in Queensland, Australia, found that an individual’s educational status is significantly associated with the performance of the clinical skin examination (P < .01), which, in turn, contributes to improved prognosis.13 In this study, we examined melanoma mortality trends from 1993 through 2007 among young and middle-aged non-Hispanic white adults using individual-level educational attainment data from death certificates in 26 states that consistently collected educational-level information on death certificates.
Mortality data from 1993 through 2007 were obtained from the National Vital Statistics Systems, administered by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s National Center for Health Statistics. Underlying causes of death were classified according to the selection and coding rules of the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9),16 for deaths recorded between 1993 and 1998 and according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10),17 for deaths recorded between 1999 and 2007. The corresponding codes for melanoma of the skin as underlying causes of death were as follows: ICD-9, 172; and ICD-10, C43. An evaluation study from the National Center for Health Statistics reported that this change in disease-coding classification for cancers, including melanoma of the skin, would not substantially affect the analysis of trends.17

The level of education is recorded on death certificates as the number of years of formal schooling completed. In accordance with the National Center for Health Statistics, educational attainment was categorized into 3 levels: less than 12 years (did not finish high school), 12 years (high school graduate), and 13 or more years (had some college education or higher).18 Since 1989, information on educational attainment has been included on the standard death certificates in the United States. However, most states did not collect this information systematically until 1993. Therefore, for this analysis, data were restricted to the 26 states (Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin) that continuously reported educational attainment on the 1989 version of death certificates from 1993 through 2007. In these 26 states, a total of 21 230 deaths in persons aged 25 to 64 years occurred between 1993 and 2007, which represented 44.8% of the total melanoma deaths in the entire United States for persons in this age group during that period. Since melanoma death counts in non-Hispanic whites vastly outnumber (>97%) those in minority subgroups, we restricted the analysis to non-Hispanic white men and women 25 to 64 years, an age range during which an individual’s education has generally been completed and which provides a better index of SES position for this group than for older adults.19-21 Also, the complete reporting of education on death certificates is high, as the proportions of missing or unknown persons are less than 5%.18

Population estimates (as the denominator for rate calculations) for the corresponding age, sex, education, state, and time intervals were obtained in a custom-designed tabulation from the US Bureau of Census (Victor Valdisera, BA, Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, US Bureau of Census, written communication, January 2010). These data were based on the Annual Social and Economic Supplement to the Current Population Survey, an ongoing monthly survey of nationally representative samples of US households. The population data were restricted to the same 26 states as represented by the numerator counts for these analyses. Mortality and population data were categorized to create corresponding numerator and denominator data for strata based on the following combined years: 1993-1997, 1998-2002, and 2003-2007. This study was waived from institutional review board approval, as all data used were deidentified.

Age-standardized mortality rates (expressed per 100 000 population and standardized to the 2000 US population) were computed for 25- to 64-year-olds by sex and education for the combined years 1993-1997, 1998-2002, and 2003-2007 and were used for trend analyses instead of annual rates, which had considerable year-to-year fluctuations. Standard errors and 95% confidence intervals for the rates were computed using methods that are designed to account for both random variability of death counts22,23 and sampling variability of the Current Population Survey–based population estimates by educational attainment.22 Computations of rates and standard errors were performed using SAS version 9.1 software (SAS Institute). Sex-specific temporal changes in melanoma death rates from 1993-1997 through 2003-2007 by educational attainment levels were evaluated using rate ratios (ie, comparing the age-adjusted rate in 2003-2007 with that in 1993-1997) and computing the 95% confidence intervals using the delta method.24 All statistical tests were 2-sided, and 95% confidence intervals different from 0 that were statistically significantly interpreted as evidence of a temporal change in death rate in the observed direction.

To assess the SES disparity (as measured by educational attainment) in melanoma mortality, we used the Relative Index of Inequality (RII) and its 95% confidence intervals.25 The RII is a regression-based measure that assesses the linear association between mortality rates and the relative position of each education level separately. The relative position is measured as the cumulative proportion of each educational level within the educational hierarchy. Therefore, this measure of inequality takes into account the size and relative position of each group and is well adapted to compare populations with different educational distribution that may vary by sex and time.25 The resulting measure (the RII) can be interpreted as the risk in mortality at the highest as compared with the lowest end of the educational hierarchy. An RII greater than 1.0 indicates a negative relationship between educational level and mortality.25,26 The relative percentage change in the respective RIIs between the most recent period (2003-2007) and the beginning period (1993-1997) was calculated to assess the net changes (ie, relative increase or decrease) in SES disparity over the entire study period.

The Table summarizes the results of the analysis of melanoma mortality rates for men and women by educational attainment, while the Figure provides a graphic display of the sex-specific data over time. As shown in the Table, the overall mortality rates declined significantly from 1993-1997 through 2003-2007 in men (RR, 0.916; 95% CI, 0.878-0.954) and women (RR, 0.907; 95% CI, 0.857-0.957), respectively. However, the declines in sex-specific declining trends in melanoma mortality were mainly observed for persons with 13 years or more of education (some college or greater) and were statistically significant (Table and Figure). Melanoma mortality rates among the least-educated groups showed a nonsignificant increase (P = .17 among men and P = .33 among women), while other subgroups remained unchanged during the 1993-2007 period (no statistically significant change in the rate ratio for trend, Table).

The magnitude of the educational-level inequality in death rates for each respective 5-year period and sex was computed using the RII. As shown in the Table, the RII values indicate an inverse relationship between education and mortality, and the magnitude of the values expresses the size of the inequality within the whole educational hierarchy in the respective year and sex group. As also shown in the Table, the RIIs for both men and
Table. Trends in Age-Adjusted Melanoma Mortality Rates by Sex and Educational Attainment in Non-Hispanic Whites, Aged 25 to 64 Years, From 26 States, 1993-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Adjusted Rateb (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>4307</td>
<td>3.845 (0.058)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>4.247 (0.107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13</td>
<td>1897</td>
<td>3.414 (0.081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RII (95% CI)</td>
<td>3.17 (1.20-1.54)</td>
<td>4.28 (1.80-2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>2459</td>
<td>2.096 (0.042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>1.725 (0.058)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13</td>
<td>1897</td>
<td>3.414 (0.081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RII (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.37-1.94)</td>
<td>2.25 (1.81-2.69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: RII, Relative Index of Inequality.

a Totals reflect all levels of education, including unknowns.
b Five-year rates per 100 000 were age-adjusted to the 2000 US standard population for persons aged 25 to 64 years.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to document SES disparities in melanoma mortality trends among non-Hispanic whites in the United States. The SES disparity in melanoma mortality in 2003-2007 relative to 1993-1997 increased by 51.7% in men and by 35.7% in women primarily because of substantial declines in the rates among the most-educated groups coupled with non-significant rate increases among men with the least education. This increase in the disparity score mirrors contemporaneously collected data (1988-1992 and 1998-2002) from the California Cancer Registry, in which persons living in low SES areas had the sharpest increases in melanoma incidence rates, especially for thicker tumors (2.01-4.00 mm).27

Reyes-Ortiz et al6 speculated that low SES influenced melanoma survival in 3 separate but interconnected ways: biologic features of the tumor, stage or thickness at diagnosis, and host factors. For example, at the biologic level, nodular tumors, which are normally aggressive, have been found to be more frequent in persons who have the least education.28 Lower SES is also associated with suboptimal knowledge and awareness of melanoma, inadequate health insurance, fewer primary providers, and lower rates of skin self-examination or physician screening.29,30 In fact, one study found that only 16% of Americans with less than a high school diploma could identify melanoma as a type of skin cancer.29 Areas with more dermatologists were associated with decreased overall melanoma mortality rates in 67 counties in Florida.32 Other host factors correlated with SES, such as occupational status, may underlie differences in socioenvironmental or behavioral exposures that influence the risk of melanoma.6

Our findings of higher melanoma mortality rates in populations with the least education using individual-level educational attainment are largely consistent with previous findings based on area-level SES that have ascertained tumor thickness and stage data alone.1,4,10,33,34 Melanoma mortality is affected by prognostic factors, and improved prognostic characteristics associated with higher area-level SES likely underlie better melanoma mortality outcomes.1,6 A recent population-based study using area-level SES status reported that patients with mela-
noma residing in areas with higher education were less likely to have a poor prognosis (ie, a lower proportion of advanced stage and thicker tumors). Similar evidence from population-based studies that measured individual-level social class is lacking; however, one clinic-based study showed an inverse association between individual-level SES and melanoma thickness.35

The strengths of our study include the use of mortality data from 26 states (and all US geographic areas) that encompass 45% of the total melanoma deaths in non-Hispanic whites from 1993 through 2007, with a sufficient number of deaths for more precise statistical estimates of death rates by sex and the use of individual-level SES instead of ecological measures of SES.3 Also, although educational status information on death certificates was less complete for the remaining 24 states during this period, the age-adjusted rates for melanoma mortality in 2007 in these states demonstrated a similar inverse gradient by education among non-Hispanic whites (data not shown), thereby adding some support to the generalizability of the findings. A limitation of this analysis is that stage of disease and tumor thickness are not available on death certificates, and these factors are undoubtedly important in further study of SES and melanoma mortality. Another limitation is that death certificates capture only 1 indicator of socioeconomic position—educational attainment—and reliance on this indicator hinders the ability to examine the independent or mediating confounding effects of other SES indicators, such as occupation. Socioeconomic position is a multifactorial construct that reflects a combination of individual- and geographic area-level influences. Although it is optimal to consider multiple indexes of socioeconomic position in examining relationships with health outcomes, educational attainment alone is frequently used as an indicator because of its availability, stability, and close association with other indicators of socioeconomic position for which data are not routinely available.21

In conclusion, the recent declines in melanoma mortality are largely confined to the more educated groups. An increasingly disproportionate burden of fatal melanoma among low SES populations calls for more vigilant primary and secondary prevention education campaigns directed to high-risk, low SES individuals and the physicians who care for them. For the control of melanoma, early recognition is the best means to ensure a favorable prognosis. Given the persistent inverse SES gradient and the widening melanoma mortality by educational attainment, current melanoma control programs need to develop and implement targeted strategies to high-risk subgroups of low SES.
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Tips for Taking Publishable Photographs

In a very visual specialty, great medical photographs can enhance the understanding of any dermatologic manuscript. To ensure that your photographs meet minimal standards for publication in the Archives of Dermatology, please keep the following tips in mind.

Technical considerations:

- Set your camera to 3 megapixels or greater. If you plan to crop extensively, an even higher resolution is desirable. If using JPEG file type, use the highest quality JPEG setting.

- When sending a photograph to a journal, send the original or cropped image file (with .JPG or .TIF extension). Do not send an image pasted into a Microsoft Word or Microsoft PowerPoint document.

Legal considerations:

- Obtain proper written consent to publish the image if there is any identifiable patient information in the picture. If in doubt, obtain consent. Consent forms are available at http://www.archdermatol.com.

- Use of black bars over the eyes of a patient is not acceptable to mask the identity of a patient.

Quality considerations:

- Use a solid colored background to eliminate background distracters.

- When submitting before and after images, maintain consistency of lighting, background, framing, patient positioning, and elimination of distracters to the extent possible. This lends credibility to the images and makes it easy for readers to focus on the subject of the image.

- When you photograph the image, frame the subject to crop out unnecessary distracting features to keep readers focused on the subject.
